OFFICIAL REPORT

OF THE

DEBATES

OF THE

HOUSE OF COMMONS

OF THE

DOMINION OF CANADA

SEVENTH SESSION—TWELFTH PARLIAMENT

7-8 GEORGE V, 1917

IN SIX VOLUMES

Vol.	I—Pages1-1124			Vol.	Vol. IV—Pages3187-4242		
	II	"	1125-2138		V	"	4243-5270
	III	"	2139-3186		VI	"	5271-6094

VOLUME CXXVI

{Seal}

COMPRISING THE PERIOD FROM THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JANUARY TO THE TWENTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1917, INCLUSIVE

MEMBERS OF THE GOVERNMENT

OF THE

RIGHT HONOURABLE SIR ROBERT LAIRD BORDEN P.C., G.C.M.G., K.C., LL.D.

AT THE OPENING OF THE

SEVENTH SESSION OF THE TWELFTH PARLIAMENT 1917

THE CABINET

President of the Privy Council and Secretary of State	
for External Affairs (Prime Minister)Rt.	Hon. Sir Robert Laird BORDEN, P.C.,G.C.M.G., K.C., LL.D.
Minister of Trade and CommerceRt.	Hon. Sir George Eulas Foster, P.C., K.C.M.G., B.A., D.C.L, LL.D.
Minister of Overseas Forces of CanadaHo	
Minister of the Interior and Superintendent General of Indian Affairs. Ho	
* Minister of Public WorksHo	
Minister of Railways and CanalsHo	n. Francis Cochrane.
Minister of FinanceHo	n. Sir William Thomas White, K.C.M.G., B.A.
Postmaster GeneralHo	
Minister of Marine and Fisheries and Minister of the Naval ServiceHo	
Minister of JusticeHo	
Minister of Militia and DefenseHo	n. Sir Albert Edward Kemp, K.C.M.G.
Secretary of State and Minister of MinesHo	n. Esioff Léon Patenaude, B.A.
Minister of LaborHo	n. Thomas Wilson Crothers, K.C., B.A.
Minister of Inland RevenueHo	n. Albert Sévigny, K.C., B.A.
Minister of CustomsHo	n, John Dowsley Reid, M.D.
Without PortfolioHo	n. Sir James Alexander Lougheed, K.C.M.G., K.C., Senator.
Minister of AgricultureHo	n. Martin Burrell.
‡ Without Portfolio (Solicitor General)Ho	n. Arthur Melghen, K.C., B.A.

NOT OF THE CABINET

Parliament Secretary of the Department of Militia and Defense......F. B. McCurdy, Esq. Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for External Affairs......Hugh Clark, Esq.

* Resigned 15th August.

† Resigned 12th June

[‡] Became Secretary of State and Minister of Mines 25th August.

THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

Speaker	Hon. Edgar N. Rhodes, B A., LLB.
Deputy Speaker and Chairman of Committees	

Clerk of the House	Thos. B. Flint, M.A., LL.B., D.C.L.
Sergeant-at-Arms	* LieutCol. Henry R. Smith, C.M.G., L.S.O., A.D.C.

Associate Editor of Debates......A. C. Campell.

Official Reporters of Debates:-

English : George Simpson,, Robert C. Dunbar, C. S. Blue, F. W. S. Galbraith, Earl C. Young, H. E. Oliver. French: J. O. Marceau, Alphonse Desjardins.

SELECT COMMITTEE ON OFFICIAL REPORT OF DEBATES:

Messieurs: Ames (Sir Herbert), Burnham, Descarries, Devlin, Foster (Sir George), Hanna, L. A. Lapointe, Lemieux, Marcil, Perley (Sir George), Rainville, Schaffner and Taylor.

Chairman: Jas. D. Taylor.

* Deceased 20th September.

IMMIGRATION REGULATIONS.

Mr. MACDONALD moved:

For a copy of all letters, telegrams and other documents relative to the exclusion at St. John, N.B., from Canada, of Mr. Corsbie, son of Jacob Corsbie, of Trinidad, a British, subject, on the alleged ground of his being of Chinese extraction, and in regard to the deportation of said Corsbie.

He said: Mr. Speaker, I am making this motion for the purpose of calling attention of the House to what I think was very objectionable and ill-considered treatment of a young man belonging to the Island of Trinidad and who was endeavouring to come to Canada in the month of August, 1916, for the purpose of being educated. The circumstances in connection with the matter have received a great deal of attention in that sister Dominion of ours and have caused a great deal of ill-feeling. For a number of years the Presbyterian body in Canada have maintained an organization in Trinidad. The matter was brought to my notice and I have been asked to call the attention of the House to it by representatives of the church resident in the Island of Trinidad. The circumstances will be explained perhaps more clearly in the correspondence which I will read than if I attempted to state them. First, I will read from a letter written by the Rev. Dr. Coffin, formerly of Prince Edward Island, but who is now located at San Fernando, Trinidad. He writes on October 14 to a friend of his, a clergyman, in the province of Nova Scotia, as follows:

> San Fernando, Trinidad. October 13, 1916.

Dear Mr. Thomson:

I am enclosing you a statement from Jacob Corsbie in regard to his son. His statement will explain the case. His return has caused a great amount of talk and bad feeling. Personally, I do not believe that he was treated fairly, but according to a rule of thumb method of interpreting the Immigration Act. Canadians can hardly be respected abroad if this is a sample of our laws and their working.

The boy was harshly treated after the manner of a criminal. His brother in Halifax could not see him except under escort of police. His father as you knew is our representative elder and has a seat if elected in the Canadian General Assembly. Just think of him going up an being treated as a criminal practically.

The boy does not know a word of Chinese and is a westerner to all intents and purposes, with almost as much Scotch blood in him as Chinese and in every other respect much more Scotch than Chinese. With all good wishes.

> Yours, &c., F. J. Coffin.

He encloses a letter written by Mr. Corsbie, father of the young man, which is as follows:

> Prince of Wales Street, San Fernando, Trinidad, B.W.I., October 7, 1916.

Dear Sir,—

In reference to our conversation relative to my son, I now write you and ask you to interest yourself in the matter, as I consider this treatment was very unjust to a British subject, and for this purpose I give you the following facts:

1, On the 19th August last, my son, Clement Russell Corsbie, age 14, sailed by the Royal Mail SS. Caraquet for Halifax, N.S., Canada, and has come back yesterday by the same steamer, as the Canadian Government forbade his landing.

2. I came to Trinidad from China in my childhood, and have lived here for over 50 years, formerly as a teacher in connection with the Canadian Mission here, then as bailiff and commissioner of assessments for the borough of San Fernando, and latterly as a Peasant Cocoa proprietor.

3. I received part of my education in Canada at the Galt Collegiate Institute. Ontario, under the late Dr. William Tassie, M.A., LL.D. 4. My wife, the mother of the boy, is a creole of the Island (coloured, not black), and her ancestors for generations have been British subjects. Her grandfather was a Scotchman from Scotland.

5. My son was educated at the Canadian Presbyterian Mission School, San Fernando and his object in going to Canada was to further his studies under his brother Wilfred (Fred) Corsbie, druggist with E. M. Macleod, Esq., of Halifax, N.S., who has been so employed for the past 8 years.

6. My son had with him a passport from the Government of this colony, stating and certifying that he was a British subject, and required in the name of His Majesty all those whom it may concern to allow Clement Russell Corsbie to pass freely without let or hindrance, and to afford him every assistance and protection of which he may stand in need. Signed by "J. R. Chancellor, R.E., K.C.M.G., D.S.O.", the Governor of the colony, on the 16th day of August, 1916.

7. In the face of this, the Canadian Government flatly declined to admit him unless he deposited £100, and as he and his brother had not this amount, he was ... transported, and I had to stand the expenses of his being sent back.

[Mr. Macdonald.]

I venture to assert that this is a case of extreme hardship and unjust treatment to a British subject, for my son is a born British subject, and the object he had in view was education. Before leaving here he a complied with all the regulations and requirements. He was going there to be with his brother, who is employed and who would help to maintain him, and my intension was to remit an allowance regularly for his maintenance so he would not be under any charge to the Government there.

Canada claims to be very anxious to promote {a} closer relationship with the West Indies, and to aid in this a trade preference exists between us, but these efforts are wholly offset if the treatment above described is to be meted out to His Majesty's subjects from the West Indies.

Thanking you in anticipation for your kind assistance. I am

Yours faithfully, J. W. Corsbie.

Rev. F. J. Coffin, Ph.D.

The boy's mother's relatives are a well known and distinguished family of West Indians. One of them is a solicitor, who occupies a high position in his profession. When this young man arrived at St. John the Government detained him on the ground that he was of Chinese origin. The Rev. Mr. Morton, who was at one time a prominent Presbyterian minister in Trinidad, happened to he in St. John at the time, and obtained the good offices of a gentleman who is well known to my hon. friend the Minister of Trade and Commerce (Sir George Foster). I refer to Judge Forbes. These two gentlemen, I am informed, laid before the Government the facts of the case, which has created a very bad feeling, not only in the island, as shown by these letters and certain other evidence that has come to our people in Nova Scotia, but the subject has also been discussed at very great length in the Presbyterian Church in Nova Scotia generally and with considerable energy in the Presbyterian papers of the province.

I know that the statute is not very broad with reference to the exclusion of persons of Chinese origin, but it does seem to me that in a case like this, where the young man was highly spoken of, there should be such an interpretation of the Act as would avoid such a situation as has occurred. There are certain exemptions from the operations of the Chinese Exclusion Act, which was passed at a time when the feeling in this country in regard to the entry of Chinese was very much stronger than it is now. The exemptions include:

(a) Members of diplomatic staff, consuls and their a servants.

(b) The children born in Canada of parents of Chinese origin and who have left Canada for education or other purposes on substantiating their identity to the satisfaction of the controller of the port or place where they seek to enter on their return. (c) 1. Merchants, their wives and minor children.

2. The wives and minor children of clergymen.

- 3. Tourists.
- 4. Men of science.

5. Subject to regulations made from time to time by Governor in Council, duly certified teachers who shall substantiate their status to the satisfaction of the Controller.

In this particular case this young man is a minor, the son of a merchant in Trinidad. This Chinese Exclusion Act was manifestly intended to exclude those who are not included in the list of exceptions I have read. It was not intended to exclude such a person as young Corsbie. I am not going to argue whether the Government were acting on the strict letter of the law or not. This young man, according to the strict letter of the law, may possibly be subject to exclusion. But I submit that it was guite within the power of the department, more particularly as power had been given to pass orders in council dealing with certain classes of people whom it was thought desirable to exclude, to have allowed this young man to come into the country, as his identity had been vouched for and his character and status commended to the Government by the gentleman I have mentioned. I think the Government should have had regard to those facts, and should now formally express regret to the Governor of Trinidad for the mistake which they made in excluding this young man, and express their willingness to provide for his admission. One class that are exempt from the operation of the Chinese Immigration Act, subject to regulations made from time to time by the Governor in Council, are duly certified teachers. Now this young man is the son of a teacher, a Canadian graduate. One can well understand what a very real and injurious blow must have been struck at the good feeling which we are so anxious to maintain and develop with our British fellowcitizens in Trinidad, who naturally look to us, as we would desire them to look, in matters of trade and intimacy of all kinds, rather than to the United States—what a deterrent to that good feeling must have arisen when the word reached

them that this young man was not allowed to enter Canada to complete his education. The facts that have come to me are from a source that is unquestioned, and I think the Government, now they are brought to their attention, should at once provide for the admission of this young gentleman.

I want to say a word or two generally with reference to the law excluding Chinese. In the United States, at their great universities, one finds quite a number of Chinese who have gone there from China to complete their education, and that ought to be our policy in this country. If the Act, and the regulations made under the Act, are not sufficiently wide to admit these people from China who wish to come here to complete their educational training they should be amended so that there would be no question about the admission of Chinese coming here for that purpose.

I have brought the case of this young man to the attention of the House at the request of some very well-known clergymen in the province of Nova Scotia, who have been connected with the Island of Trinidad. The facts are as I have stated, and unless the department has other information justifying their course in this case I submit that some action should be taken by the Government, in order to remove the bad impression which unquestionably exists and will continue to exist in Trinidad in regard to the treatment of this young man.

Hon. W. J. ROCHE (Minister of the Interior): This case has been dealt with departmentally. Although I am not conversant with all the facts, I had a short memorandum placed in my hands this morning regarding the motion of my hon. friend. I think some of the statements in the correspondence which he has read are grossly exaggerated. When all the facts are brought down I do not think they will substantiate the statements that were read, that this young man has been treated like a criminal. I cannot quite take the hon. gentleman's view that, because certain respectable gentlemen had vouched for this young man and asked for his admission, the Government should therefore have acceded to their request. We frequently get very strong letters asking us to admit certain people who would be barred by the Immigration Act. In regard to this particular case, the reason why this young man was excluded by the officials of the department was that, according to their interpretation of the Act, he did not come within the exemptions. He was of Chinese origin and therefore was excluded under the Chinese Immigration Act. I will read the short memorandum dealing with this question supplied to me by the Superintendent of Immigration:

Clement Russell Corsbie arrived at St. John, N.B., in September, 1918. While of British nationality, he is of Chinese descent and, not belonging to the exempt classes, could have been legally admitted only upon payment of the \$150 head tax. Representations were made to the department by a large number of persons, but as there is no provision in the Chinese Immigration Act for any departure from the terms of same, the department took the stand that admission could be only upon payment of the \$500 head tax, and as Corsbie was unable to pay, he was deported. Objection to the department's action was made by his father and a rather severe criticism was made in the Presbyterian Witness, published at Halifax. It was pointed out to this paper that in their views they should criticize the law, not the administration of the law.

(Sgd.) W. D. Scott. Superintendent of Immigration.

That is the only report that has been placed in my hands. I may say that there has been some correspondence with the Governor of Trinidad and a courteous letter was sent to him pointing out that owing to the Chinese Immigration Act this young man was refused admission. I quite agree with the hon. member for Pictou (Mr. Macdonald) that the admission of young Chinese students into the United States under their immigration law for the purpose of attending any of their Universities is more liberal than our law in Canada has been up to the present time. For the last two years I have been discussing the question with those who have brought the matter to my attention, and I have already prepared an amendment to the Chinese Immigration Act to be submitted this session, to place the admission of these young Chinese students who desire to attend our schools and colleges, on the same footing as in the United States. This was a case where the young man, according to the interpretation of the officials of the department, did not come under any of the exempt classes, and as he did not have the \$500 head tax, he was not admitted. Had he paid the \$500 head tax, he would have been admitted and he could have attended any of our universities for one year, and on leaving the country afterwards he would have had that head tax refunded. We do that right along. Any young man of Chinese origin who comes to Canada and attends our universities pays the head tax upon admission, and when he leaves the country, the head tax is refunded. That is the difference between the United States law and ours. The United States do not impose the head tax.

[Mr. Roche.]

Mr. PUGSLEY: Is the wording in the Chinese Immigration Act: "Of Chinese origin"?

Mr. ROCHE: I have not the Act here.

Mr. PUGSLEY: Would not that mean a man born in China, originating in China? The son of a man who has been a British subject for fifty years is surely not of Chinese origin.

Mr. ROCHE: The Superintendent of Immigration uses the expression "of Chinese descent". The interpretation which the department has placed on the matter—and I think the matter has engaged the particular attention of the department—is that he did not come within any of the exempt classes. That may be wrong and I will have the matter looked into more critically, but I feel sure that no similar case will arise in the future. The Act has not been changed since this Government came into power; this is the manner in which the Act has been administered since it was placed upon the Statute-book, and the same officials are still administering the Act. I quite agree, however, that the Act should be amended and, as I stated before, I have prepared an amendment to be submitted this session.

Mr. PUGSLEY: I think the word in the Act is "origin," and that must mean a man who has been born in China, who is a Chinaman. If the minister is sound in his views, then a man whose great-grandfather happened to be a Chinaman and whose blood might be only about one-tenth Chinese, would be excluded. The Statute surely cannot mean that. In this case the boy was the son of a British subject, a man who had left China when a boy, who had lived in a British colony and who had become a British subject. This boy is a British subject; his brother is not a Chinese woman, and his mother's blood must count in considering the question. If the word is "origin," as the minister seems to think it is, it is clear that this boy ought to have been admitted.

{

In the discussion above, assuming the father were Chinese and no other progenitors are of Chinese "blood", then the great grand-child would be 1/8th Chinese, not 1/10th: Father (1) -> Son (1/2) -> Grand Son (1/4) -> Great Grand-Son (1/8). In this case, the father was Jacob Walter and the son was Clement Russell, who was 1/2 Chinese.

}

Mr. MACDONALD: The statements that I made in regard to this matter were not comments by myself with reference to anything that occurred at St. John, but were contained in letters which came from Trinidad, and the mention of the manner in which the young man was treated was contained in a letter from the boy's father.

On account of the situation of the library it is difficult to get books outside of those in general use, but my recollection of the Statute is that a person excluded is a person of Chinese origin, and it seems to me a very fine distinction, when you are dealing with a British subject coming from Trinidad, whose status has been certified to by the Government of that colony, to put him within the terms of the Statute. I would like to know what interpretation would be put upon the right to enter Canada of a person for instance, who had been born of a British father but whose mother happened to be born in China, and who therefore had some Chinese blood in him. Could he be excluded under that Statute? He could not be, and if you reverse that condition I think that the same reasoning would apply.

{

From a political point of view, he claims that women do not hold equal status: that only a man is considered under the Statute as if the woman were an object, a mere suitcase. He uses this to his advantage to point out the contradiction and absurdity of the law, but at the same time emphasizes the paternalistic and misogynous culture in the early 1800s. From a genetics point of view, whether the father or the mother were Chinese, and the opposing spouse were Caucasian, the boy would be still 1/2 Chinese. Therefore, if mathematics were the issue, then this discussion becomes equally absurd.

I am glad to hear that the minister recognizes that the law requires to be amended. I would suggest, in order to avoid the feeling of resentment which this matter has caused in Trinidad, on account of the notoriety that hae been given to this case, that steps be taken to satisfy the authorities there, in so far as it is possible to do so, as to the regret this country has over the exclusion that has been made.

Motion agreed to.

On the motion of Sir George Foster for the adjournment of the House:

Mr. MACDONALD: Before the House adjourns I would like to ask the hon. gentlemen leading the House to call the attention of the Premier to the fact that some information is to be

brought down before the War Appropriation Bill comes on. First, I desire to obtain information as to the number of unfit men among the troops taken across the Atlantic, and the cost to the country by reason of these men being enlisted. Then I should like a report on No. 7 and No. 8 hospitals in France, carried on under Canadian auspices. Also, I desire a list of the unmarried men, with their rank and amount of their pay, who are in England and physically fit. and are occupying clerical or staff positions.

Sir GEORGE FOSTER: If the hon. gentleman (Mr. Macdonald) will let me have a memorandum of what he desires, I will bring the matter to the attention of the proper authorities.

Motion agreed to, and House adjourned at 5.15 p.m.